SFN

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re the Application of: MI, Xiaoyu, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2834

Serial No.: 10/791,787

Examiner: Karl I. TAMAI

Filed: March 4, 2004

P.T.O. Confirmation No.: 5333

For: MICRO-OSCILLATION ELEMENT

RESPONSE TO THE RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT DATED December 2, 2005

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: December 28, 2005

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Official Action dated December 2, 2005.

In the Action, restriction is required among Group (I), Claims 2, 11 and 12; Group (II), Claim 3; Group (III), Claims 4-8; Group (IV), Claims 9 and 10; and Group (V), Claims 14-18.

Applicants hereby elect the subject matter of Group (II), Claim 3, for prosecution in this application. It is understood that the applicants' rights to the filing of a divisional application directed to the non-elected subject matter under 35 USC 120 and 35 USC 121 are retained.

Despite the above election, the applicants submit that since all claims are equally directed to a micro-oscillating element (a single category of invention); thus, the Examiner should have issued an "Election of Species" requirement, rather than a restriction requirement. Further, the

Response to Restriction Requirement filed December 28, 2005 U.S. Appln. Serial No. 10/791,787

applicants submit that all of the disclosed embodiments (species) include plate springs so how

are the applicants limited to future amendments as a result of the Restriction Requirement. If the

applicants are limited only to claim 3 with no possibility of combining claim 3 with other claims,

the Restriction Requirement would seem unreasonable in view of the fact that claim 3 reads on

all of the disclosed embodiments.

It is thus requested that the Examiner clarifies his position regarding the above points.

The applicants should elect one of the disclosed species rather than doing selection of claims. (If

such is the case, the applicants will provisionally elect the species shown in Figs. 12 and 13.)

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, applicants hereby petition for an

appropriate extension of time. The fee for any such extension may be charged to our Deposit

Account No. 01-2340.

In the event any additional fees are required in connection with this response, please

charge our Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS,

HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Mel R. Quintos

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 31,898

MRQ/lrj

Atty. Docket No. 040089

Suite 1000

Suite 1000

1725 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 659-2930

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE